#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

# **Grand Jury N-04-2**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CRIMINAL NO. 3:04CR28(JBA)

v.

ANTHONY MEGALE, <u>VIOLATIONS</u>:

VICTOR RICCITELLI,

NICOLA MELIA, COUNT 1: Racketeering

GERARD GRECCO, (18 U.S.C. § 1962(c)

ATHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, & 2)

VINCENT FIORE,

WILLIAM WILLIAMS, JR., COUNT 2: Racketeering

IGNAZIO ALOGNA, Conspiracy

JOSEPH MASCIA, (18 U.S.C. § 1962(d))

JOHN MASCIA, JR.,

HENRY FELLELA, JR., COUNTS 3-41: Hobbs Act Extortion

ALFRED SCIVOLA, JR. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1951 &

2)

COUNTS 42-44: Illegal Gambling

Businesses

(18 U.S.C. §§ 1955 &

2)

COUNTS 45-46: Attempted Hobbs Act

Extortion

(18 U.S.C. §§ 1951 &

2)

# SECOND SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

**COUNT ONE: RICO** 18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(c) and 2

# **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

1. At various times material to this Indictment, in the District of Connecticut and

elsewhere, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA

MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, the defendants herein, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, were members and associates of an organization known as the Gambino Family of La Cosa Nostra (hereafter referred to as "the Gambino Family" or "the Enterprise"), which engaged in various criminal activities, including among other things, extortion, illegal gambling and the collection of unlawful debts.

- 2. At all times material to this Indictment, "La Cosa Nostra," commonly referred to as "the Mafia," was a nationwide clandestine criminal organization that engaged in various crimes including murder, kidnaping, assault, extortion, loansharking, and illegal gambling in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere.
- 3. At all times material to this Indictment, the Gambino Family, which is one of the five families that comprise La Cosa Nostra, was a clandestine criminal organization that engaged in various crimes, including murder, kidnaping, assault, extortion, loan sharking and illegal gambling in, among other places, the District of Connecticut.
- 4. At all times material to this Indictment, **ANTHONY MEGALE**, also known as "Tony" and "The Genius," was a member of the Gambino Family. In August 2001, approximately, **MEGALE** became a "Capo" within the Gambino Family and, for approximately the past 2½ years, has served as the "Underboss" of the Gambino Family.
- 5. As Underboss, **MEGALE** reports to the "Boss" of the Gambino Family, helps decide disciplinary issues involving the family, and assists in resolution of internal family disputes, among other responsibilities.
- 6. At all times material to this Indictment, **VICTOR RICCITELLI** was an associate of the Gambino Family. In or about July 2003, **RICCITELLI** became a "made" member, that is, a

soldier, of the Gambino Family.

- 7. At all time material to this Indictment, **GERARD GRECCO** was an associate of the Gambino Family.
- 8. At all time material to this Indictment, **NICOLA MELIA**, also known as "Nick," "The Greaseball," and "The Old Man," was an associate of the Gambino Family.
- 9. At all time material to this Indictment, **ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS**, also known as "Saki," was an associate of the Gambino Family.
- 10. Businessman #1, who is not a defendant but whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, has, for a number of years including those material to this Indictment, owned and operated nightclubs located in Fairfield County, Connecticut. The operation of these businesses involves and affects interstate and foreign commerce.
- 11. Businessman #2, who is not a defendant but whose identity is known to the Grand Jury, has, for a number of years including those material to this Second Superseding Indictment, owned and operated a vending machine company located and operated in Fairfield County, Connecticut. The operation of this business involves and affects interstate and foreign commerce.

# THE RACKETEERING ENTERPRISE

12. From on or before 1990 up to and including on or about February 11, 2004, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, the Gambino Family of La Cosa Nostra, including its leadership, membership, and associates, constituted an enterprise as defined by Title 18, United States Code, § 1961(4), that is, a group of individuals and entities associated in fact. The enterprise constituted an ongoing organization whose members and associates functioned as a

continuing unit for the common purpose of achieving the objectives of the enterprise. This enterprise was engaged in, and its activities affected, interstate and foreign commerce.

#### OBJECTIVES OF THE RACKETEERING ACTIVITY

13. It was the objective of the defendants, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR

RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS

TSIROPOULOS, and other persons associated with the Enterprise, to conduct the business and affairs of the Enterprise through a pattern of criminal activity, consisting of violations of the laws of the United States and the State of Connecticut, for the personal and pecuniary benefit of members of and persons associated with the Enterprise.

# MANNER AND MEANS OF THE ENTERPRISE

- 14. Among the manner and means employed by the defendants, **ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS,** and their associates in conducting and participating in the affairs of the Enterprise, include the following:
  - a. ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, and their associates, met periodically to conduct and to further the affairs and objectives of the Enterprise;
  - b. ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, and their associates, would and did travel in and use facilities in interstate commerce in aid of their racketeering activities and to conduct and to further the affairs and objectives of the Enterprise;
  - c. ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, and their associates, would and did travel in, or cause travel in, interstate commerce and use facilities in interstate commerce to distribute the proceeds of their racketeering activities;

- d. ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, and their associates, would and did sanction, conspire to commit, attempt to commit, threaten to commit, commit, and solicit the commission of acts of violence and intimidation in aid of their racketeering activities and to conduct and to further the affairs and objectives of the Enterprise;
- e. Beginning in or about August 2002 and continuing to on or about February 3, 2004, **MEGALE** would and did extort payments of money and other items of value from Businessman #1;
- f. Beginning no later than in or about August 2002 and continuing to on or about February 3, 2004, **MEGALE** and members and associates of the Enterprise would and did extort payments of money and other items of value from Businessman #2;
- g. Beginning no later than in or about 1990 and continuing through to in or about May 2004, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, and their associates, would and did conduct illegal gambling businesses.

#### RACKETEERING VIOLATION

being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, the defendants,

ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA

and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, together with others known and unknown to the

Grand Jury, being persons associated with and employed by the Enterprise identified herein,

which was engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate and foreign commerce, did

knowingly and unlawfully conduct and participate in, directly and indirectly, the conduct of the

affairs of the Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, that is, through the

commission of Racketeering Acts One through Thirty-Eight as set forth in paragraphs 16 through

29 below, and through the collection of unlawful debt as set forth in paragraph 30 below.

# THE PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY

- 16. The pattern of racketeering activity, as that term is defined by Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1961(1) and 1961(5), consisted of the following acts:
  - A. INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE BY EXTORTION

# Racketeering Act 1 through Racketeering Act 19: General Allegations (Extortion of Businessman #1 – Defendant Anthony Megale)

- 17. In or about the Summer of 2002, Businessman #1 operated nightclubs located in Fairfield County, Connecticut.
- 18. In or about August 2002, Businessman #1 met with **ANTHONY MEGALE** after Businessman #1 had been approached by members and associates of the Gambino Family and another organized crime family who sought to extort payments from him, his associates, and his businesses.
- 19. Thereafter, **MEGALE** represented, in essence, the following to Businessman #1: that he was a high-ranking member of the Enterprise; that he had met with the leadership of the Enterprise concerning Businessman #1's situation; and that he had prevented members and associates of the rival organized crime family from extorting payments from Businessman #1.
- 20. In or about September 2002, **MEGALE** informed Businessman #1 that he would have to pay **MEGALE** for "protection," that is, to ensure the safety of himself and his associates, his property, and his businesses and to prevent disruptions of his businesses. **MEGALE** demanded payment of \$2,000 each and every month, plus an additional amount as a yearly "Christmas bonus."
- 21. At times material to this Second Superseding Indictment, **MEGALE** threatened Businessman #1 and his associates with violence and destruction of property and disruption of

business if and when payment of the amounts demanded was late or otherwise not forthcoming.

22. On or about the dates set forth below, Businessman #1 made the following payments in the approximate amounts set forth below to **MEGALE** and, in each instance, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, defendant **ANTHONY MEGALE** did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect commerce as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(3), and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce, and did attempt so to do, by extortion, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(2), in that defendant **MEGALE** did obtain and attempt to obtain money and other items of value of Businessman #1, with said businessman's consent having been induced by the wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, including but not limited to fear of physical and economic harm.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1951 and 2.

Racketeering Act 1 through Racketeering Act 19: Specific Allegations
(Extortion of Businessman #1 – Defendant Anthony Megale)

RACKETEERING ACT	DATE OF PAYMENT	AMOUNT
1	November 11, 2002	\$2,000
2	November 18, 2002	\$2,000
3	December 7, 2002	\$2,000
4	December 11, 2002	\$3,000
5	January 7, 2003	\$2,000
6	February 3, 2003	\$2,000
7	March 3, 2003	\$2,000
8	April 1, 2003	\$2,000

9	April 29, 2003	\$2,000
10	June 2, 2003	\$2,000
11	July 2, 2003	\$2,000
12	July 30, 2003	\$2,000
13	September 2, 2003	\$2,000
14	October 2, 2003	\$2,000
15	November 6, 2003	\$2,000
16	December 2, 2003	\$2,000
17	January 7, 2004	\$2,000
18	January 7, 2004	\$2,500
19	February 3, 2004	\$2,000

# Racketeering Act 20 through Racketeering Act 35: General Allegations (Extortion of Businessman #2 – Defendant Anthony Megale)

- 23. In or about the Summer of 2002, Businessman #2 operated a vending machine business located in Fairfield County, Connecticut.
- 24. From in or about 1996 through in or about the Summer of 2002, Businessman #2 had been the victim of extortion by members and associates of the Enterprise in that he had been making monthly payments to members and associates of the Enterprise for the privilege of operating a vending machine business in Connecticut.
- 25. In or about September 2002, **MEGALE**, in essence, informed Businessman #2, or caused him to be so informed, that, rather than pay the members and associates of the Enterprise to whom he had previously made payment, he would have to pay **MEGALE** for "protection," that is, to ensure the safety of himself, his associates, his property, and his business, to prevent

the disruption of his business, and to assure the continued operation of his business without interruption or adverse consequence. **MEGALE** demanded payment of \$200 each and every month from Businessman #2.

26. On or about the dates set forth below, Businessman #2 made the following payments in the approximate amounts set forth below to **MEGALE** and, in each instance, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, defendant **ANTHONY MEGALE** did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect commerce as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(3), and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce, and did attempt so to do, by extortion, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(2), in that defendant **MEGALE** did obtain and attempt to obtain money and other items of value of Businessman #2, with said businessman's consent having been induced by the wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, including but not limited to fear of physical and economic harm.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1951 and 2.

Racketeering Act 20 through Racketeering Act 35: Specific Allegations
(Extortion of Businessman #2 – Defendant Anthony Megale)

RACKETEERING ACT	DATE OF PAYMENT	AMOUNT
20	November 11, 2002	\$200
21	December 7, 2002	\$200
22	January 7, 2003	\$200

23	February 3, 2003	\$200
24	March 3, 2003	\$200
25	April 1, 2003	\$200
26	April 29, 2003	\$200
27	June 2, 2003	\$200
28	July 2, 2003	\$200
29	August 2, 2003	\$200
30	September 2, 2003	\$200
31	October 2, 2003	\$200
32	November 6, 2003	\$200
33	December 2, 2003	\$200
34	January 7, 2004	\$200
35	February 3, 2004	\$200

#### B. ILLEGAL GAMBLING BUSINESSES

# **Racketeering Act 36**

(Video Gambling Machines – Defendants Anthony Megale, Victor Riccitelli, Gerard Grecco)

27. From in or about 1995, and continuously thereafter up to and including in or about May 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, **ANTHONY MEGALE**, **VICTOR RICCITELLI**, and **GERARD GRECCO**, the defendants herein, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, said illegal gambling business involving the operation and maintenance of a video gambling machine business, in violation of the laws of the State of Connecticut, including

Connecticut General Statutes, Sections 53-278a, 53-278b, 53-278c, 53-278d, and 53-278e, which illegal gambling business involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of said business and which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§1955 and 2.

#### **Racketeering Act 37**

(Sports Bookmaking – Defendants Anthony Megale, Victor Riccitelli, Gerard Grecco)

28. From in or about 1995, and continuously thereafter up to and including in or about May 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, and GERARD GRECCO, the defendants herein, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, said illegal gambling business involving the operation of a sports bookmaking business, in violation of the laws of the State of Connecticut, including Connecticut General Statutes, Sections 53-278a, 53-278b, 53-278c, 53-278d, and 53-278e, which illegal gambling business involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of said business and which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§1955 and 2.

#### **Racketeering Act 38**

(Numbers Operation – Defendants Anthony Megale, Victor Riccitelli, Gerard Grecco)

29. From in or about 1995, and continuously thereafter up to and including in or about May 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, and GERARD GRECCO, the defendants herein, and NICOLA MELIA, ATHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS and WILLIAM WILLIAMS, JR., who are not charged as defendants in this racketeering act, and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, said illegal gambling business involving the operation of an illegal numbers operation, in violation of the laws of the State of Connecticut, including Connecticut General Statutes, Sections 53-278a, 53-278b, 53-278c, 53-278d, and 53-278e, which illegal gambling business involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of said business and which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1955 and 2.

# **COLLECTION OF UNLAWFUL DEBT**

30. The collection of unlawful debt, as defined in Section 1961(6) of Title 18, United States Code, through which the defendants conducted and participated in the affairs of the enterprise, consisted of the following:

#### a. <u>Collection of Unlawful Debt Number One</u>

From in or about February 2004 and continuing until in or about September 2004,

MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA and
ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, the defendants herein, did unlawfully and knowingly
collect from and aid and abet in the collection from William Williams, Jr. a debt (namely, money
owed in connection with an extension of credit of approximately \$2,500), which debt was
unenforceable in whole or in part as to principal and interest (namely \$100 per week in interest
payments) because of the laws relating to usury and was incurred in connection with the business
of lending money at a rate usurious under the laws of the State of Connecticut and federal law
and the usurious rate was at least twice the enforceable rate.

#### b. <u>Collection of Unlawful Debt Number One</u>

From in or about March 2004 and continuing until in or about September 2004, the exact dates unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut, **NICOLA MELIA** and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, the defendants herein, did unlawfully and knowingly collect from and aid and abet in the collection from Leon Simmons a debt (namely, money owed in connection with an extension of credit of approximately \$7,000), which debt was unenforceable in whole or in part as to principal and interest (namely \$280 per week in interest payments) because of the laws relating to usury and was incurred in connection with the business of lending money at a rate usurious under the laws of the State of Connecticut and federal law and the usurious rate was at least twice the enforceable rate.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, § 1962(c).

#### COUNT TWO: RICO CONSPIRACY

18 U.S.C. §§ 1962(d)

31. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-15 and 17-30 of Count One are realleged

in this Count Two and are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

32. Beginning in or about 1995 and continuing to in or about September 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, the defendants, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, and ANTHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS, being persons associated with and employed by the Enterprise identified herein, which was engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate and foreign commerce, did unlawfully and willfully combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together with each other, and with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(c); that is, to conduct and participate, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of the Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, as that term is defined by Title 18, United States Code, Section 1961(1) and 1961(5), as set forth in paragraphs 16 through 29 of Count One, and through the collection of unlawful debt, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1961(6), as set forth in paragraph 30 of Count One. It was part of the conspiracy that each defendant agreed that a conspirator would commit at least two acts of racketeering and a collection of unlawful debt in the conduct of the affairs of the Enterprise.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(d).

# **COUNTS THREE THROUGH TWENTY-THREE: HOBBS ACT EXTORTION**

18 U.S.C. §§1951 and 2

(Businessman #1 – Defendant Anthony Megale)

- 33. Paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
  - 34. At all times material to this Indictment, Businessman #1 was engaged in the

business of operating nightclubs, the activities of which affected interstate and foreign commerce.

35. On or about the dates set forth below, each date constituting a separate count of this Superseding Indictment, Businessman #1 made the following payments in the approximate amounts set forth below to **MEGALE** and, in each instance, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, defendant **ANTHONY MEGALE** did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect commerce as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(3), and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce, and did attempt so to do, by extortion, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(2), in that defendant **MEGALE** did obtain and attempt to obtain money and other items of value of Businessman #1, with said businessman's consent having been induced by the wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, including but not limited to fear of physical and economic harm.

COUNT	DATE OF PAYMENT	<u>AMOUNT</u>
3	November 11, 2002	\$2,000
4	November 18, 2002	\$2,000
5	December 7, 2002	\$2,000
6	December 11, 2002	\$3,000
7	January 7, 2003	\$2,000
8	February 3, 2003	\$2,000
9	March 3, 2003	\$2,000
10	April 1, 2003	\$2,000

11	April 29, 2003	\$2,000
12	June 2, 2003	\$2,000
13	July 2, 2003	\$2,000
14	July 30, 2003	\$2,000
15	September 2, 2003	\$2,000
16	October 2, 2003	\$2,000
17	November 6, 2003	\$2,000
18	December 2, 2003	\$2,000
19	January 7, 2004	\$2,000
20	January 7, 2004	\$2,500
21	February 3, 2004	\$2,000
22	March 1, 2004	\$2,000
23	March 30, 2004	\$2,000

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1951 and 2.

# COUNTS TWENTY-FOUR THROUGH FORTY-ONE: HOBBS ACT EXTORTION

18 U.S.C. §§1951 and 2

(Businessman #2 – Defendant Anthony Megale)

- 36. Paragraphs 1 though 27 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. At all times material to this Indictment, Businessman #2 was engaged in the business of operating a vending machine business, the activities of which affected interstate and foreign commerce.
  - 38. On or about the dates set forth below, each date constituting a separate count of this

Superseding Indictment, Businessman #2 made the following payments in the approximate amounts set forth below to **MEGALE** and, in each instance, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, defendant **ANTHONY MEGALE** did unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect commerce as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(3), and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce, and did attempt so to do, by extortion, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(2), in that defendant **MEGALE** did obtain and attempt to obtain money and other items of value of Businessman #2, with said businessman's consent having been induced by the wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, including but not limited to fear of physical and economic harm.

<u>COUNT</u>	DATE OF PAYMENT	<u>AMOUNT</u>
24	November 11, 2002	\$200
25	December 7, 2002	\$200
26	January 7, 2003	\$200
27	February 3, 2003	\$200
28	March 3, 2003	\$200
29	April 1, 2003	\$200
30	April 29, 2003	\$200
31	June 2, 2003	\$200
32	July 2, 2003	\$200
33	August 2, 2003	\$200
34	September 2, 2003	\$200

35	October 2, 2003	\$200
36	November 6, 2003	\$200
37	December 2, 2003	\$200
38	January 7, 2004	\$200
39	February 3, 2004	\$200
40	March 1, 2004	\$200
41	March 30, 2004	\$200

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1951 and 2.

# COUNT FORTY-TWO: ILLEGAL GAMBLING BUSINESS

18 U.S.C. §§1955 and 2

(Video Gambling Machines – Defendants Anthony Megale, Victor Riccitelli, Gerard Grecco)

- 39. Paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 17, and 28 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. From in or about 1995 and continuously thereafter up to and including in or about May 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, and GERARD GRECCO, defendants herein, together and with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, said illegal gambling business involving the operation and maintenance of a video gambling machine business, in violation of the laws of the State of Connecticut, including Connecticut General Statutes, Sections 53-278a, 53-278b, 53-278c, 53-278d, and 53-278e, which illegal gambling business involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of said business and

which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1955 and 2.

#### COUNT FORTY-THREE: ILLEGAL GAMBLING BUSINESS

18 U.S.C. §§1955 and 2

(Sports Bookmaking – Defendants Anthony Megale, Victor Riccitelli, Gerard Grecco)

- 41. Paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 17, and 29 of this Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. From in or about 1995 and continuously thereafter up to and including in or about May 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, and GERARD GRECCO, defendants herein, together and with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, said illegal gambling business involving the operation of a sports bookmaking business, in violation of the laws of the State of Connecticut, including Connecticut General Statutes, Sections 53-278a, 53-278b, 53-278c, 53-278d, and 53-278e, which illegal gambling business involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of said business and which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1955 and 2.

#### **COUNT FORTY-FOUR: ILLEGAL GAMBLING BUSINESS**

18 U.S.C. §§1955 and 2

(Numbers Operation – Defendants Anthony Megale, Victor Riccitelli, Gerard Grecco, William Williams, Jr., Nicola Melia and Athanasios Tsiropoulos)

- 43. Paragraphs 1 through 9, 12 through 17, and 30 of this Superseding Indictment are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. From in or about 1995 and continuously thereafter up to and including in or about September 2004, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, ANTHONY MEGALE, VICTOR RICCITELLI, GERARD GRECCO, NICOLA MELIA, ATHANASIOS TSIROPOULOS and WILLIAM WILLIAMS, JR., also known as "Willie," defendants herein, together and with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did unlawfully, willfully and knowingly conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct and own all or part of an illegal gambling business, said illegal gambling business involving the operation of an illegal numbers operation, in violation of the laws of the State of Connecticut, including Connecticut General Statutes, Sections 53-278a, 53-278b, 53-278c, 53-278d, and 53-278e, which illegal gambling business involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed and owned all or part of said business and which remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1955 and 2.

# **COUNT FORTY-FIVE: ATTEMPTED HOBBS ACT EXTORTION**

18 U.S.C. §§1951 and 2

(Businessman #1 – Defendants Ignazio Alogna, Joseph Mascia, John Mascia, Jr., Alfred Scivola, Jr., and Henry Fellela, Jr.)

45. At all times material to this Indictment, Businessman #1 was engaged in the business of operating nightclubs, the activities of which affected interstate and foreign commerce.

- 46. At all times material to this Superseding Indictment, **IGNAZIO ALOGNA**, also known as "Iggy," was a member of the Gambino Family with the rank of "Capo."
- 47. At all times material to this Superseding Indictment, **JOSEPH MASCIA**, also known as "Joey Mash," and **JOHN MASCIA**, **JR.**, also known as "Johnny Mash," were associates of the Gambino Family.
- 48. At all times material to this Superseding Indictment, **ALFRED SCIVOLA**, **JR.**, also known as "Chip" and "Chippy," was a member of the New England Crime Family and a resident of Rhode Island.
- 49. At all times material to this Superseding Indictment, **HENRY FELLELA**, **JR.**, also known as "Hank," was an associate of the New England Crime Family and a resident of Rhode Island.
- 50. On or about May 31, 2002, Businessman #1 was contacted by **JOSEPH MASCIA** who demanded a meeting with Businessman #1.
- 51. On or about June 6, 2002, Businessman #1 met at a location in Connecticut with IGNAZIO ALOGNA, JOSEPH MASCIA, JOHN MASCIA, JR., ALFRED SCIVOLA, JR. and HENRY FELLELA JR., defendants herein. The defendants demanded payment of monies from Businessman #1 in the amount of \$4,000 each month. The defendants told Businessman #1, in essence, that if payment were made, Businessman #1 and his nightclubs would not have problems.
- 52. On or about August 15, 2002, Businessman #1 met again with **JOHN MASCIA**, **JR.**, **ALFRED SCIVOLA**, **JR.**, **and HENRY FELLELA**, **JR.** concerning their demand for money. The defendants told Businessman #1, in essence, that he had to keep the peace and

indicated that he would avoid problems if he made the monthly payments they demanded.

53. From on or about May 31, 2002 through in or about September 2002, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, defendants IGNAZIO ALOGNA, JOSEPH MASCIA, JOHN MASCIA, JR., ALFRED SCIVOLA, JR. and HENRY FELLELA JR., did attempt to unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect commerce as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(3), and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce by extortion, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(2), in that the defendants did attempt to obtain money from Businessman #1, with said businessman's consent having been induced by the wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, including but not limited to fear of physical and economic harm, and did threaten physical violence to any person or property in furtherance of a plan or purpose to extort money from Businessman #1.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1951 and 2.

#### COUNT FORTY-SIX: ATTEMPTED HOBBS ACT EXTORTION

18 U.S.C. §§1951 and 2

(Businessman #3 – Defendant Vincent Fiore)

- 54. At all times material to this Superseding Indictment, Businessman #3 operated a social club in Fairfield County, Connecticut, the activities of said club affecting interstate and foreign commerce.
- 55. At all times material to this Superseding Indictment, **VINCENT FIORE**, also known as "Vinny," was an associate of the Gambino Family and closely associated with Anthony Megale and Victor Riccitelli. In or about July 2003, **FIORE** became a "made" member of the Gambino Family with the rank of soldier.

- 56. On or about August 5, 2003, **FIORE**, and another person unknown to the Grand Jury, approached Businessman #3 at his social club and demanded payment of \$30,000. When Businessman #3 refused to make payment, **FIORE** physically assaulted him.
- 57. From on or about August 5, 2003 through in or about December 2003, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Connecticut and elsewhere, defendant **VINCENT FIORE** did attempt to unlawfully obstruct, delay and affect commerce as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(3), and the movement of articles and commodities in such commerce by extortion, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 1951(b)(2), in that the defendant did attempt to obtain money from Businessman #3, with said businessman's consent having been induced by the wrongful use of actual and threatened force, violence and fear, including but not limited to fear of physical and economic harm, and did threaten and did commit physical violence to any person or property in furtherance of a plan or purpose to extort money from Businessman #3.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, §§ 1951 and 2.

#### SENTENCING ALLEGATIONS

- 58. With respect to Counts One, Two, and Forty-Two through Forty Four, **ANTHONY MEGALE** was
  - a. a leader and organizer of a criminal activity that involved five or more participants and was otherwise extensive;
  - b. a manager or supervisor (but not an organizer or leader) and the criminal

- activity involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive; and
- c. an organizer, leader, manager, or supervisor in any criminal activity.
- 59. With respect to Counts One, Two, and Forty-Two through Forty Four, **VICTOR RICCITELLI** was:
  - a. a leader and organizer of a criminal activity that involved five or more participants and was otherwise extensive;
  - b. a manager or supervisor (but not an organizer or leader) and the criminal activity involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive; and
  - c. an organizer, leader, manager, or supervisor in any criminal activity.
- 60. With respect to Counts One, Two, and Forty-Two through Forty Four, **NICOLA MELIA** was
  - a. a leader and organizer of a criminal activity that involved five or more participants and was otherwise extensive;
  - b. a manager or supervisor (but not an organizer or leader) and the criminal activity involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive; and
  - c. an organizer, leader, manager, or supervisor in any criminal activity.
- 61. With respect to the charge of Attempted Extortion against **VINCENT FIORE**, as alleged in Count Forty-Six, said offense involved:
  - a. an express or implied threat of bodily injury;
  - b. A demand for an amount in excess of \$10,000;
  - Fiore's demonstrated ability to carry out a threat of inflicting serious bodily injury;
  - d. The actual infliction of
    - (1) bodily injury

	(2)	serious bodily in	jury
	(3)	a degree of injur	y between "bodily injury" and "serious bodily
		injury."	
			A TRUE BILL
			FOREPERSON
KEVIN J. O'CONN UNITED STATES		RNEY	
JOHN H. DURHAN DEPUTY UNITED		ES ATTORNEY	_
JAMES I. GLASSE CHIEF, CRIMINAL		SION	_
MICHAEL J. GUS	ΓAFSO	N	-

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY